




# Local Government Innovation Fund: Round 1




**Council Awards Meeting:  
June 1st 2012**



# Award Meeting

## Agenda

- Welcome
- Attendance Roll Call
- Minutes of the January 31, 2012 Meeting
- Program Legislative Updates
- Round 1 Review and Awards
- 2012 Program Calendar
- Other





# + Legislation


- HB 487 (MBR)
- Local Government Performance Grant (Proposed)
- Eligibility for TIF excess funds for LGIF Loan Payments (Proposed)




# + Project Categories



# + Applications Summary:



## Requests by Category





## Eligible Applications

Request Type	Number	Amount
Loan Requests	10	\$2,964,000
Grant Requests	90	\$6,826,694
<b>Total</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>\$9,790,694</b>

Average Request for Loan Assistance	\$296,400
Average Request for Grant Funds	\$75,852
Average Score (Loans)	69
Average Score (Grants)	56

# + Applicants' Regions



# + Local Government Innovation Fund Council

## Grant Scoring


- A portfolio analysis of the Round 1 LGIF applications validated base scores revealed that projects scoring at or above 60 points were as a group: strong, ready to implement, with defined plans to create change which would have measurable outcomes and a positive Return on Investment (ROI).



# + Projects above 60

## Grant Scoring

- Based on this analysis, it is recommended that the cut-off point for funding should be 60 points or greater.



# + Projects below 50

## Grant Scoring

- It is recommended that projects with a validated base score of less than 50 will not be considered for funding during this round.



Project Name	Base Score	Council Member (1)	Council Member (2)	Council Member (3)	Council Member (4)	Average	Final Score
Technology Sample #1	51	1	2	3	4	2.5	53.5
Public Safety Sample #2	52	4	5	7	7	5.75	57.75
HR Sample #3	53	3	4	5	6	4.5	57.5
Site Consolidation #4	55	8	8	8	8	8	63


## Grant Projects between 50 and 59

- It is recommended that each council member use their discretion to add between 0 to 10 points to each project.
- All of the Council member's scores for each project will be averaged, resulting in a score of 0 to 10 which will be added to the verified score.
- The denominators are altered for conflicts of interest.



# + Conflicts of Interest

- Participating in an action that involves the direct interests of the council member, or those of a family member, or another with whom the member has an ongoing business relationship.
- Authorizing or using a public position to secure a public contract or investment of public funds in any security that benefits the member, a family member, or business associate.





# Local Government Innovation Fund Council



## Discuss Loan Projects

- Funding Threshold

vs.

- Wholesale Approval



# Awards Discussion and Approval



JUNE						
S	M	T	W	Th	F	S
					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30

- 6.1.12 : Round one Council Awards Meeting and Round two applications (loans only) due to Development by 5:00 p.m.

JULY						
S	M	T	W	Th	F	S
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30	31				

- 7.2.12-7.31.12 : Round two cure period

AUGUST						
S	M	T	W	Th	F	S
			1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30	31	

- 8.17.12: Loan planning studies due to Development by 5:00 p.m. for round three consideration.

SEPTEMBER						
S	M	T	W	Th	F	S
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30						

- 9.4.12 :Round three (grant and loan) applications due to Development by 5:00 p.m.
- TBD: Council Awards Meeting (Round two)

## + Next Meeting and Future Dates

# Thank You



Thea J. Walsh, Deputy Chief

Office of Redevelopment

Ohio Department of Development

Phone: 614-728-3182