




Local Government Innovation Fund: Round 3



Council Awards Meeting:
November 29th 2012




Development
Services Agency



Award Meeting

Agenda

- Welcome
- Attendance Roll Call
- Minutes of the September 20, 2012 meeting
- Program Legislative Updates
- Round 3 Review and Awards
- 2012 Program Calendar
- Other



+ Legislation

- Discussion



+ Project Categories

Administration

Economic
Development

Health and
Human
Services

Educational
Instructional
Support

Public Works


Public Safety

Fleet
Management

Facilities

Technology


+ Applications Summary:



Eligible Applications


Request Type	Number	Amount	Average Request	Average Score
Loan Requests	3	\$1,100,000	\$366,667	67
Grant Requests	57	\$4,692,607	\$82,346	63
Total	60	\$5,792,607		

Requests by Category




+ Applicants' Regions

Application Received by Region




Eligible Requests by Region



+ Local Government Innovation Fund Council

Grant Scoring


- A portfolio analysis of the Round 3 LGIF applications' validated base scores revealed that projects scoring at or above 65 points were as a group: strong, ready to implement, and with defined plans to create change which would have measurable outcomes and a strong Return on Investment (ROI).



+ Projects above 65

Grant Scoring


- Based on this analysis, it is recommended that the cut-off point for funding should be 65 points or greater.
 - *Council Discussion*
 - *Motion*



Project Name	Base Score	Council Member (1)	Council Member (2)	Council Member (3)	Council Member (4)	Average	Final Score
Technology Sample #1	61	1	2	3	4	2.5	63.5
Public Safety Sample #2	62	4	5	7	7	5.75	67.75
Educational Instructional Support Sample #3	63	3	4	5	6	4.5	67.5
Public Works Sample #4	56	8	8	8	8	8	64


Grant Projects between 55 and 64

- It is recommended that each council member use their discretion to add between 0 to 10 points to each project.
- All of the Council member's scores for each project will be averaged, resulting in a score of 0 to 10 which will be added to the verified score.
- The denominators are altered for conflicts of interest.



+ Conflicts of Interest

- Participating in an action that involves the direct interests of the council member, or those of a family member, or another with whom the member has an ongoing business relationship.
- Authorizing or using a public position to secure a public contract or investment of public funds in any security that benefits the member, a family member, or business associate.





Local Government Innovation Fund Council



- Council to Award Preference Points
- Discuss Loan Projects
 - Approval of Loan Awards
- Final Grant Scores Tabulated and Announced
 - Approval of Grant Awards Above Scoring Threshold

+ Future Dates


- December 3, 2012- Round 4 Loan Applications Due to Development by 5:00pm
- January 2013- Round 4 Cure Period
- February-March- Round 4 Awards Council Meeting
- March 1st, 2013- Round 5 Grant and Loan Applications Due to Development by 5:00pm

December						
S	M	T	W	Th	F	S
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30	31					

January						
S	M	T	W	Th	F	S
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30	31		

February						
S	M	T	W	Th	F	S
					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28		

March						
S	M	T	W	Th	F	S
					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31						



Thank You



Thea J. Walsh, Deputy Chief

Office of Redevelopment

Ohio Development Services Agency

Phone: 614-728-3182